



## Failure to Encrypt ePHI Results in Costly Judgment **Major Cancer Center Faces \$4.3 Million Civil Monetary Penalty**

A federal Department of Health and Human Services ([HHS](#)) administrative law judge [decision](#) this month sent a powerful message about the importance of mitigating risks to electronic protected health information (ePHI) identified in HIPAA security risk assessments. The judge upheld a \$4.3 million civil monetary penalty proposed by the Office for Civil Rights ([OCR](#)) against MD Anderson Cancer Center in Texas for not encrypting its electronic devices. MD Anderson's failure to do so resulted in the breach of ePHI for nearly 35,000 individuals after a laptop was stolen and two USB drives were lost in separate incidents in 2012 and 2013.

The judge's decision noted that while HIPAA does not mandate encryption as the means of protecting ePHI, MD Anderson had chosen to make encryption its policy in 2008, after it identified the need to protect its ePHI. However, MD Anderson had not encrypted every electronic device by 2013- five years later. Nor had it implemented an alternate security method.

This decision further reinforces the position that if a covered entity or business associate identifies risks in its risk assessment, it must take steps to eliminate, manage or mitigate those risks.

---

**DISCLAIMER:** The information contained herein is provided by Glaser & Weiner, LLP for informational purposes only. These materials should not be considered as, or as a substitute for, legal advice and they are not intended to nor do they create an attorney-client relationship. Because the materials included here are general, they may not apply to your individual legal or factual circumstances. This document contains information that may be modified or rendered incorrect by future legislative or judicial developments. You should not take (or refrain from taking) any action based on the information you obtain from this document without first obtaining professional counsel. It is possible that under the laws, rules or regulations of certain jurisdictions, this may be construed as an advertisement or solicitation. © 2018 Glaser & Weiner, LLP. All Rights Reserved.